

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/960,769 | Applicant(s) SORIA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner THU V. HUYNH | Art Unit 2177 | |

All Participants:

(1) THU V. HUYNH.

(2) John Yankovich (Reg.42,240).

Date of Interview: 19 April 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1, 5-6, 10-11, 17, 21-22, 25-26, 31, 35-36, 39-40

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorizing examiner to do the examiner amendment to overcome 101, 112 fourth paragraph, 112 sixth paragraph and antecedent basis issues.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thu Huynh/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2177

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)